

Vet Clin Pathol. 2011 Jun;40(2):198-201. doi: 10.1111/j.1939-165X.2011.00311.x. Epub 2011 May 9.

BRIEF COMMUNICATION

Frequency of dog erythrocyte antigen 1.1 expression in dogs from Portugal

Rui R. F. Ferreira¹, Rafael R. Gopegui², Augusto J. F. Matos^{3,4}

¹Veterinary Blood Bank, Porto Veterinary Hospital, Porto, Portugal; ²Department of Animal Medicine and Surgery of the Veterinary Faculty, Barcelona Autonomous University, Barcelona, Spain; ³Department of Veterinary Clinics, Institute for Biomedical Sciences of Abel Salazar (ICBAS), Porto University, Porto, Portugal; and ⁴Multidisciplinary Unit for Biomedical Research (UMIB), University of Porto, Porto, Portugal

Key Words

Blood type, DEA 1.1, incompatibility, phenotype, transfusion

Correspondence

Augusto J. F. Matos, ³Department of Veterinary Clinics, Institute for Biomedical Sciences of Abel Salazar (ICBAS), Porto University, Largo do Prof. Abel Salazar n° 2, 4099-003 Porto, Portugal

E-mail: ajmatos@icbas.up.pt

Running short title: Prevalence of DEA 1.1 in Portuguese dogs

Running authors: Ferreira et al

Background: Dog erythrocyte antigen (DEA) 1.1 is the antigen considered most responsible for severe hemolysis owing to incompatible blood transfusions in previously sensitized dogs. Few reports describe the frequency of DEA 1.1 expression in European dogs, and there are no reports on dogs from Portugal.

Objective: The aims of this study were to identify the frequency of DEA 1.1 expression in Portuguese dogs, to examine the relationship between phenotypic traits and expression of this blood group, and to assess the risk of transfusing blood that is not typed or cross-matched.

Methods: Expression of DEA 1.1 was determined in 274 dogs using a migration gel test. Weight, sex, breed, and hair length and color were recorded for each dog. Results were analyzed by descriptive statistical analysis, probabilistic analysis, and chi-square tests.

Results: Of 274 dogs, 56.9% were DEA 1.1-positive and 43.1% were DEA 1.1-negative. All Boxers, German Shepherds, and Dobermans were DEA 1.1-negative, whereas all Saint Bernards, 88.9% of Golden Retrievers, 88.2% of Rottweilers, and 61.4% of mixed breed dogs were DEA-1.1 positive. A significant relationship between DEA 1.1 expression and phenotypic traits was not found. The probability of sensitization of recipient dogs following first-time transfusion with blood that was not typed or cross-matched was 24.5%; the probability of an acute hemolytic reaction following a second transfusion from any other dog with no pre-transfusion compatibility tests was 6%.

Conclusion: The frequency of DEA 1.1 expression in dogs in Portugal is high, and there is a potential risk of sensitization following transfusion with blood that is not typed or cross-matched. Breed-related frequencies may help predict DEA 1.1 positivity, but the best practice is to type and cross-match blood prior to transfusion.

Cell membranes of erythrocytes have species-specific antigens (glycolipids and glycoproteins) that constitute the basis for classification of blood groups.¹ There are 7 major antigens that have received international standardization in dogs; they are identified by the acronym dog erythrocyte antigen (DEA) followed by the numbers 1-8 (2 is not used),^{1,2,3} and dogs may be classified as positive or negative for each antigen. The antigens that have been proven to cause acute hemolytic reactions in sensitized dogs are the 2 subtypes of DEA 1, DEA 1.1 and DEA 1.2.¹ To the authors' knowledge, no naturally occurring antibodies against these antigens have been identified.⁴ Antibodies against DEA 1.1 or 1.2 are produced in a negative recipient 4-14 days after the first transfusion of RBCs positive for either DEA 1.1 or 1.2 and induces a delayed transfusion reaction that leads to premature and rapid destruction of transfused erythrocytes, thus decreasing the effectiveness of the transfusion.^{1,5} If the dog receives subsequent transfusions of incompatible blood, the sensitized recipient may develop a severe acute hemolytic reaction.^{1,5,6} DEA 1.1 is reported to have the most clinical relevance owing to its strong antigenicity, which is considered the strongest among all canine erythrocytic antigens.^{5,6} Therefore, blood-typing of both donors and recipients for this antigen is highly recommended.

The aim of this study was to determine the frequency of DEA 1.1 in Portuguese dogs, estimate the risk of administering transfusions of untyped blood, provide criteria to aid selection of potential donors, and predict the presence of this antigen when typing of blood is not possible. In addition, we investigated the possibility of a relationship between some phenotypic traits and expression of DEA 1.1.

This prospective study determined the frequency of DEA 1.1 expression in 274 Portuguese dogs by analyzing blood-typing results for blood donors and recipients that were typed in 2008 and 2009. During blood collection, weight, sex, breed, and hair length and color

were recorded. The weight of obese and cachectic animals was adjusted to estimate the normal weight based on breed and size. Length of fur was registered as short (< 1 cm), medium (1 – 3 cm), or long (> 3 cm). Hair color was defined as beige, white, brown, grey, or black. When hair color was ambiguous, data were not used. For each animal, 1 mL of blood was collected by jugular venipuncture into EDTA (K3E/EDTA 3K, 1 ml, Aquisel SL, Abrera, Spain), stored refrigerated at 4-6°C, and processed within 24 hours of collection. DEA 1.1 expression was determined using a migration gel test (ID-Gel Test Canine DEA 1.1, DiaMed, Lisbon, Portugal) according to manufacturer's instructions. Only results validated by negative controls were included. Results were analyzed by absolute and relative frequency analysis, joint probabilistic test, and chi-square tests using SPSS Statistics 17.0 (IBM, Somers, United States of America). Significance was set at $P < .05$.

Of 274 dogs, 118 (43.1%) were DEA 1.1-negative and 156 (56.9%) were DEA 1.1-positive (Table 1). There was high variability within and among breeds. All Boxers, German Shepherds, and Dobermans were DEA 1.1-negative, whereas all Saint Bernards were DEA 1.1-positive. Labrador Retrievers and Cocker Spaniels were predominantly DEA 1.1-negative (68.8% and 55.2%, respectively), whereas Golden Retrievers and Rottweilers were mostly DEA 1.1-positive (88.9% and 88.2%, respectively). To avoid skewed interpretation, all breeds represented by < 5 dogs were identified as 'other'. It should be noted that 5 Dobermans were related as were 5 Saint Bernards, which may have biased results for these breeds. In mixed breed dogs, the frequency of DEA 1.1 expression was 61.4%.

The probability of a dog becoming sensitized from first-time transfusion of blood that was not typed or cross-matched was calculated using the following formula: %DEA 1.1-negative x % DEA-1.1 positive /100. The probability of the same dog developing an acute hemolytic

reaction with a second incompatible transfusion using untyped blood from any other dog was calculated using the formula: %DEA-1.1 negative x %DEA-1.1 positive x % sensitization for the first transfusion/10,000. Results were reported for all dogs and for specific breeds (Table 2). Considering all dogs, the probability of a recipient becoming sensitized following first-time transfusion of blood that was not typed or cross-matched was 1 in 4 (24.5%), similar to the probability reported previously.⁷ DEA 1.1 expression was detected in 82/139 (58.9%) of female and in 69/132 (52.3%) of male dogs. There were no significant differences between genders ($P=0.266$). Statistical analysis failed to demonstrate a relationship between DEA 1.1 expression and the phenotypic traits examined.

The higher frequency of DEA 1.1-positive dogs in our study was similar to that previously reported in 3 other studies^{7,8,9}; however, in studies of more than one breed, higher frequencies of DEA 1.1-negative dogs were reported.^{3,5,6,10-15} Although there are few reports of breed-specific frequencies of blood type, some comparisons between our results for groups of >10 animals of a single breed and those of other studies can be made. Similar to our findings, German Shepherds, Dobermans, and Boxers are predominantly DEA 1.1-negative, whereas Rottweilers are predominantly DEA 1.1-positive.^{7,16,17} Conversely, frequencies reported in this study for Siberian Huskies, Great Danes, Labrador Retrievers, Poodles, German Shepherds, and Cocker Spaniels disagree with previously published data.^{7,17,18} Diverse genetic pools of ancestors for a particular breed and different levels of inbreeding among countries may explain these differences. Mixed breed dogs had a higher frequency of DEA 1.1 expression in contrast to previous reports of lower frequencies of expression of this antigen in mixed breed dogs.^{3,7,18} In some studies, sampling of low numbers of animals within a breed may have misrepresented the breed overall.^{7,13} The probability of a recipient becoming sensitized after first-time transfusion of

untyped blood was similar to that reported previously⁷ and, along with the 6% probability of acute hemolytic reaction following a second incompatible transfusion, should not be dismissed as trivial.

In conclusion, this study, like others (Table 2), demonstrates that expression of DEA 1.1 varies geographically and within breeds. Also, despite the low probability of hemolysis after a second transfusion of untyped blood, the risk is not trivial and should not be ignored; therefore, we recommend blood-typing for DEA 1.1 and cross-matching before administering any blood transfusion as a best practice.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to DiaMed – Portugal, Porto Veterinary Hospital, and the Foundation for Science and Technology (grant SFRH /BD/43946/ 2008) of the Portugal Ministry of Science and Technology for the support of this project.

Disclosure: The authors have indicated that they have no affiliations or financial involvement with any organization or entity with a financial interest in, or in financial competition with, the subject matter or materials discussed in this article.

References

1. Kirstensen AT, Feldman BF. Canine and feline transfusion medicine. *Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract.* 1995;25:1231-1490.
2. Hohenhaus AE. Importance of Blood Groups Antibodies in Companion Animals. *Transfus Med Rev.* 2004;18:117-126.

3. Wriensendorp HM, Albert ED, Tempelton JW. Joint report of the second international workshop on canine immunogenetics. *Transplant Proc.* 1976;8:289-314.
4. Haldane S, Roberts J, Marks SL, Raffe MR. Transfusion medicine. *Compend Contin Educ Pract Vet.* 2004;26:502-518.
5. Giger U, Gelens CJ, Callan MB, Oakley DA. An acute hemolytic transfusion reaction caused by dog erythrocyte antigen 1.1 incompatibility in a previously sensitized dog. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 1995;206:1358-1362.
6. Giger U, Blais MC. Ensuring blood compatibility: update on canine typing and crossmatching. *Proceedings of 23th American College of Veterinary Internal Medicine Congress.* Baltimore, MD; 2005:721-723.
7. Novais AA, Santana A, Vicentin LA. Prevalence of DEA 1 canine blood group system in dogs (*Canis familiaris*, Linnaeus, 1758) reared in Brazil. *Braz J Vet Res Anim Sci.* 1999;36(1):23-27.
8. Kohn B, Reitermeyer S, Giger U. Bestimmung der Blutgruppe DEA 1.1 und deren Bedeutung beim Hund [Determination of blood group DEA 1.1 in dogs]. *Kleintierprax.* 1998;43:77-86.
9. DeLuca L, Glass S, Johnson R, Burger M. Description and evaluation of a canine volunteer blood donor program. *J Appl Anim Welf Sci.* 2006;9:129-141.
10. Swisher SN, Young LE. The blood group system of dogs. *Physiol Rev.* 1961;41:495-520.
11. Swisher SN, Bull R, Bowdler J. Canine erythrocyte antigens. *Tissue Antigens.* 1973;3:164-165.
12. Suzuki Y, Stormont C, Morris BG. New antibodies in dog blood groups. *Transplant Proc.* 1975;7:365-367.

13. Ejima H, Kurokawa K, Ikemoto S. Phenotype and gene frequency of red blood cell groups in dogs of various breeds reared in Japan. *Nippon Juigaku Zasshi*. 1986;48:363-368.
14. Bucheler J, Giger U. Alloantibodies against A and B blood types in cats. *Vet Immunol Immunopathol*. 1993;38:283-295.
15. Hale AS, Werfelmann J, Lemmons M, Smiler B, Gerlach J. An evaluation of 9570 dogs by breed and Dog Erythrocyte Antigen typing. *Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine*. 2008;22(3):740.
16. VanDerMerwe LL, Jacobson LS, Pretorius GJ. The breed prevalence of Dog Erythrocyte Antigen 1.1 in the Onderstepoort area of South Africa and its significance in selection of canine blood donors. *J S Afr Vet Assoc*. 2002;73:53-56.
17. Mathews KA, Scott H, Abrams-Ogg A. Transfusion of blood products. In: Mathews KA, (ed.) *Veterinary Emergency and Critical Care Manual*. 2nd ed. Ontario, Canada: Lifelearn; 2006:667-681.
18. Novais AA, Fagliari JJ, Santana AE. DEA (Dog Erythrocyte Antigen) prevalence in dogs from Brazil. *Proceedings of 27th World Small Animal Veterinary Association Congress*. Granada; 2002.
19. Ejima H, Kurokawa K, Ikemoto S. DEA 1 Blood group system in dogs reared in Japan. *Nippon Juigaku Zasshi*. 1982;44:815-817.
20. Gracner D, Bedrica L, Labura C, Maticic D, Gracner G, Samardzija M. Blood groups and haematology in Istrian pointers. *Veterinarski Arhiv*. 2007;77:95-102.
21. Zubcic D, Bedrica L, Gracner D, Harapin I, Fury M, Jeremic J. Blood groups, haematology and clinicochemical indicators in indigenous breeds of dog. I. Croatian sheepdog. *Veterinarski Arhiv*. 2008;78:141-147.

Table 1. Frequency of DEA 1.1 expression according to breed, probability of sensitization of a DEA 1.1-negative dog after a first-time transfusion of untyped blood and probability of an acute hemolytic reaction during a second transfusion with blood from the same breed.

Breed	N	%	DEA 1.1 +		DEA 1.1 -		Probability of sensitization during 1 st transfusion (%)	Probability of hemolytic reaction during 2 nd transfusion (%)
			N	%	N	%		
Mixed Breed	57	20.8	35	61.4	22	38.6	23.7	5.6
Great Dane	5	1.8	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0
Saint Bernard	14	5.1	14	100.0	0	0.0	0	0
Golden Retriever	9	3.3	8	88.9	1	11.1	9.9	1
Rottweiler	17	6.2	15	88.2	2	11.8	10.4	1.1
Weimaraner	5	1.9	4	80.0	1	20.0	16	2.6
Poodle	7	2.6	4	57.1	3	42.9	24.5	6
Siberian Husky	7	2.6	4	57.1	3	42.9	24.5	6
Labrador Retriever	29	10.6	13	44.8	16	55.2	24.7	6.1
Cocker Spaniel	16	5.8	5	31.3	11	68.8	21.5	4.6
Boxer	13	4.7	0	0.0	13	100.0	0	0
Doberman	12	4.4	0	0.0	12	100.0	0	0
German Shepherd	10	3.6	0	0.0	10	100.0	0	0
Other *	73	26.6	49	67.1	24	32.9	22.1	4.9
Total	274	100	156	56.9	118	43.1	24.5	6.0

*Breeds represented by < 5 dogs.

Table 2. Summary of published frequencies of DEA 1.1 expression in dogs from several countries.

Reference	Location	Number of dogs tested	% DEA 1.1+	% DEA 1.1-
Swisher et al, 1961 ¹⁰	USA	332	45	55
Swisher et al, 1973 ¹¹	USA	-	40	60
Suzuki et al, 1975 ¹²	USA	61	36	64
Wriesendorp et al, 1976 ³	USA	-	37 (Mixed Breed)	63 (Mixed Breed)
			43.4 (Beagle)	56.6 (Beagle)
			29 (Golden Retriever)	71 (Golden Retriever)
Ejima et al, 1982 ¹⁹	Japan	160 (Beagle)	39 (Beagle)	61 (Beagle)
		22 (Mixed Breed)	73 (Mixed Breed)	27 (Mixed Breed)
Ejima et al, 1986 ¹³	Japan	545	44	56
Bucheler et al, 1976 ¹⁴	Holland	31	38	62
Giger et al, 1995 ⁵	USA	224	33	67
Kohn et al, 1998 ⁸	Germany	88	52	48
Novais et al, 1999 ⁷	Brazil	150	51	49
Novais et al, 2002 ¹⁸	Brazil	150 (Mixed Breed)	55 (Mixed Breed)	45 (Mixed Breed)
		50 (German Shepherd)	64 (German Shepherd)	36 (German Shepherd)
Van Der Merwe et al, 2002 ¹⁶	South Africa	233	47	53

Giger et al, 2005 ⁶	USA	-	33-45	55-67
DeLuca et al, 2006 ⁹	USA	84	54	46
Gracner et al, 2007 ²⁰	Croatia	30 (Istrian Pointers)	67	33
Zubic et al, 2008 ²¹	Croatia	95 (Croatian Sheepdogs)	90	10
Hale et al, 2008 ¹⁵	USA	9570	42	58
